



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,564	09/04/2001	Nida Abdul-Ghani Nassief		8476

7590  
AL-JASSIM, Rawaa  
2578 River Woods Drive  
Naperville, IL 60565

04/24/2008

EXAMINER

LEWIS, PATRICK T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/24/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/944,564

**Applicant(s)**NASSIEF, NIDA ABDUL-  
GHANI**Examiner**

Patrick T. Lewis

**Art Unit**

1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Patrick T. Lewis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Dr. Nida Nassief.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 25-28.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner informed Dr. Nassief that the Appeal's Brief did not appear to be in the proper format. The examiner directed Ms. Nassief to the HSLIE for further assistance regarding placing the application in the proper format for appeal. The examiner also explained to Dr. Nassief that the standards of patentability differ for composition claims and method claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Dr. Patrick T. Lewis/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623  
Examiner's signature, if required